

Standing Your Ground

with



and



Baskground...

I have trouble accepting that...

...vaccinations have not been tested on their effect on fertility and carcinogenicity (ability to cause cancer).

Page 16 -

<https://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ucm294307.pdf>

...companies that financially benefit from the vaccination programme, also manufacture medicines for fertility and treating cancer. It's a financial win-win from an industry perspective.

GSK, Astra Zeneca, Merck and Sanofi Pasteur all manufacture vaccines and medicines for the treatment of cancer.

...the rise in measles incidence is due to low uptake of the vaccine since scarlet fever is also on the increase yet there is no vaccine.

Headline Independent 5th February 2018 – Scarlet Fever cases reach highest levels in UK since 1960s.

<http://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/scarlet-fever-cases-uk-numbers-how-spot-symptoms-treatment-what-do-public-health-england-phe-a8194691.html>

Measles outbreaks confirmed in 5 areas across UK (note no indication whether this is in vaccinated or non-vaccinated individuals).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/measles-outbreaks-confirmed-in-leeds-liverpool-and-birmingham>

Note photoshopped image on this website:

<https://www.cambridge-news.co.uk/news/uk-world-news/measles-rash-symptoms-outbreak-vaccine-13952398>

...measles is a killer. Measles wasn't described as a killer until a vaccine for it was introduced. Has measles become more deadly since the vaccine was rolled out, or is it a scare tactic to encourage vaccination?

...my child not having the MMR vaccine will mean your vaccinated child is more likely to get measles.

...vaccines are better than natural immunity since vaccines don't produce a lifelong immunity yet natural immunity nearly always does.

...a vaccine is the only way to become 'protected' against a disease when you don't have to have a disease for which a vaccine is available to be immune to it.

...combining vaccinations is a good idea since you'll never see someone with more than one of the diseases in the vaccination at the same time, yet the vaccination challenges the immune system as if it can or does.
[\(Combining vaccination does reduce overall adjuvant load and is 'convenient' and reduces administration costs\)](#)

...aluminium in vaccines is safe since aluminium is associated with Alzheimer's, breast cancer and now autism. Aluminium is the new preferred adjuvant, now that mercury has largely been phased out... [and we all know how safe mercury is!](#)

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0946672X17308763>

...herd immunity applies to a vaccinated community since the definition of 'herd immunity' is *the protective effect that occurs when a population contracts and recovers NATURALLY from an infection.*

<http://www.homeopathical.com/news/category/herd%20immunity>

There has been a measles outbreak in a fully immunized secondary-school population.

Vaccines cannot confer "herd immunity" because vaccines only stimulate one of the two essential elements of the immune system. In the vaccinated, the body's natural immune response is impaired, and the antibodies are not as strong as they would have been had the virus passed through the immune system in a 'natural' manner, therefore leading to an ever-increasing reliance on developing more vaccinations.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3821823>

...vaccine ingredients are safe... where do we start on this one?...

Polio - SV40 – Cancer risk associated with simian virus 40 contaminated polio vaccine.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10472327> - The presence of SV40 in monkey cell cultures used in the preparation of the polio vaccine from 1955 through 1961 is well documented.

Two CJD victims have been linked to the same polio vaccine.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-90590/Two-CJD-victims-linked-polio-vaccine.html>

Drug companies were advised in 1989 that they should not use bovine material from Britain or any other country with BSE-infected herds, but a loophole emerged because the guidelines from the Department of Health applied only to *injectable* vaccines. Oral polio vaccines using British cattle products continued to be manufactured and distributed.

Renaming illnesses if vaccinated... or even not. Is this the new name for polio Guillain–Barré syndrome (GBS)?

<https://vactruth.com/2012/04/25/change-names-of-diseases/>

... having antibodies to a disease confers immunity. Efficacy (not effectiveness) of a vaccine is based on antibodies produced, yet there is no direct relationship between antibody production and immunity.

...there is no 'gold-standard', double-blind, placebo testing for vaccines.

...when vaccines are tested, there is no 'placebo' but instead, all the other active ingredients, including the adjuvant, making the unwanted effects appear much less than they really are compared to the control (not placebo).

...there is no control for the long-term effects of vaccinating. The control for this experiment is people who chose not to opt in. In effect, we are the control for the experiment. Time will tell.

...vaccines are not tested with the administration of medications such as *Calpol* (paracetamol) yet they are routinely suggested as a treatment for the likely immune response when challenged by a vaccine. Essentially, at every point the body is attempting to defend itself, it is thwarted.

... that Andrew Wakefield is a fraud... He actually campaigned for single vaccines, so the UK government banned them.

'I asked a senior member of the Department of Health, "Why would you do that? If you want to protect children against serious infectious disease, why would you take away an option of how parents might do that?" He said, "Because if we allow parents the choice then it will destroy our MMR program." In other words, the concern was for the protection of the program and not the children.'

<http://vaxedthemovie.com/dr-andrew-wakefield-deals-with-allegations/>

The MMR (measles-mumps-rubella) vaccine has been directly blamed for the death of a five-year-old boy in the UK. Doctors have confirmed that the boy died from a mumps virus in his brain, which came from the 'live' vaccine.

Acta Neuropathol, 2017; 133: 139-47; www.ghostshipmedia.com

...not vaccinating has any effect on those that do. People who choose not to vaccinate do not and will not harm those who choose to.

...vaccination has reduced the spread and mortality of illness. The development of modern sanitation has contributed far more to limit the spread of disease than any vaccine – compare illnesses for which there has been no vaccine and those that have such as scarlet fever and dysentery.

...giving more and more vaccinations and combining them is safe. Superbugs have evolved with overuse of antibiotics. Is it plausible that superviruses will also evolve as a result of over vaccinating?

...damage by a vaccine is easy to diagnose and treat. It is easier to treat the illness, vaccinations claim to prevent, should they present themselves, than manage the potential damage caused by the vaccination.

Conclusion:

I feel it's easier for us to get the message across that we're 'pro-choice' rather than 'anti-vax'.

We are, in effect, telling others that they've been sold a lie. Essentially, when you've been told a lie as fact enough times it is believed to be true. It's important to ask yourself the question, 'how do you know?' Most of the time, it's because someone else told you. If pro-vax people honestly believe that the vaccination programme is intended to make the nation healthier, the industry is transparent with a pure motive and the multi-billion pound revenue it generates is a nice consequence then that's up to them. The thing is, we're not so sure. That makes us the real sceptics, by definition. The reason new antibiotics haven't been developed over the last couple of decades is there's no money to be made from them. Understandable really. There's definitely a difference between the medical *profession* and the pharmaceutical *industry*.

Our message challenges fundamental beliefs. We're putting into doubt people's belief of how to get healthy and remain healthy. This *will* produce resistance so it's important to tread carefully. The majority of my clients choose to vaccinate. The first seeds I sow are making people aware that they can make an *informed* decision and that they *do* have a choice. Many are even unaware that there *is* a choice. Many others opt-in, based on fear of the consequences of choosing not to vaccinate. But... I accept that they do. Try to get people to see your point of view, yet not necessarily change their mind. That is for them to do in their own time and it may be never. It's also important to accept that they will try to change your mind. I think it's important to get the message across that they won't change your mind either. See it from their viewpoint, but you don't have to agree, just accept.

Next meeting TBC